The Boeing Company P.O. Box 3707 Seattle, WA 98124-2207

August 15, 2006 9704-PFS-168

DOCUMENT CONTROL DESK UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555

Subject:

GT STRUDL Program Report Forms 2006.07

Reference: a) Boeing Letter G-1151-RSO-92-365 dated August 31, 1992; R.S.

Orr to the NRC Operations Center

b) NRC Letter Docket No. 99901227 dated August 12, 1992; L. J. Norrholm to R. S. Orr; Subject: Response to 10 CFR 21 Inquiry

## Dear Sir or Madam:

In accordance with the Reference correspondence and 10 CFR 21, Boeing is sending the NRC the attached error notices received from our former software suppliers. Because of unknown current addresses, the following former customers were not notified:

Reactor Controls, Inc **Echo Energy Consultants** Nuclear Applications and Systems Analysis Company (Japan) **Nuclear Power Services GPU Nuclear Corporation** Tenera, Inc. Stone & Webster Engineering Raytheor Engineers & Constructors Gilbert Associates, Inc.

Error notices have been sent to our other former customers.

Very truly yours,

Pat Soroe

**Nuclear Administrator** 

206-300-2845

patricia.f.soroe@boeing.com

Enclosures: GT STRUDL Program Report Forms 2006.07



## **GTSTRUDL Program Report Form**

GPRF No.: <u>2006.07</u>

DATE: 6/20/06

FROM:	Georgia Institute Atlanta, Georgia		cering Center	
SEVERITY L	EVEL:			
X_ URGENT		Problem results in incorrect answers which may not be apparent or job aborts and cannot be recovered within the session or job.		
_ SERIOUS			ncorrect answers which a of a particular user's ta	
_ MINOR		oblem can be wor	rked around or problem	poses high frustration
_ INFORMAT	TIVE DO	ocumentation erro	r, program usage tip, us	er inconveniences.
Date Problem (	Confirmed <u>Jur</u>	e 21, 2006		· 
Date Notification	on Sent 6/20	06	<del></del>	<u>·</u>
Computers Al	1	<u>.</u>	·	·
Operating Syste	em All			<u>.</u>
Version <u>All V</u>	ersions	·		
Target Release	for Correction	Version 29.0		
Musell. Signature	Stanger		Sr. RE Title	
R & D Division			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Michael H. Sw Typed or Printe	d Name		G/20/06 Date of Signature	<del></del>
David C. Signature	<u>y</u>		Con figurat	Ton Control Manager
Professional Ser	vices Division		11.20	
David C. 1			6/20/06	
Typed or Printed	i ivaine		Date of Signature	

## GTSTRUDL Program Report Form (Continued)

GPRF No.: <u>2006.07</u>

DATE: 6/20/06

## **DESCRIPTION:**

Section force computation will abort for pseudo static loads computed from response spectrum and harmonic loads if the number of modes used to compute the response spectrum and/or harmonic analysis results is greater than the number of modes available at the time the section force computation is attempted. The most likely scenario for this to occur is described as follows:

- 1. Perform an eigenvalue analysis for 160 modes, for example.
- 2. Execute response spectrum and/or harmonic analyses, compute results, and create one or more pseudo static loading conditions from the computed response spectrum and/or harmonic analysis results.
- 3. Perform a second eigenvalue analysis for less than 160 modes, 140 modes for example.
- 4. Execute the LIST SECTION command or a steel/reinforced concrete check/design command that requires the computation of section forces while one or more pseudo static loads from Step 2 above are active. The indicated abort will occur. The abort will also occur for load combinations that are dependent on pseudo static loads such as those computed by Step 2.

The introduction of Step 3 in the above sequence of four steps is inconsistent and should not be done. Steps 1, 2, and 4, however, do form a consistent and valid sequence of operations.